

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,553	01/27/2004	Frank Madda	30487/38612A	2319	
.,	7590 05/03/200 GERSTEIN & BORUN	•	EXAMINER		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO		
SEARS TOWE CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3734		
•		•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		X			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/765,553	MADDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u> </u>	Kevin T. Truong	3734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ele	ection to restriction req. 04/	<u>26/2007</u> .			
,	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-18 is/are withdrates 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/04.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 			

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-11 and 14-18, drawn to a stent assembly, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15.
 - II. Claims 12 and 13, drawn to a method of inserting or testing a stent assembly, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed could be used in a materially different process of using that product which would requires a tubular sheath.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Art Unit: 3734

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species of figures 1-8, 13, and 14; Species of figures 9-10; Species of figures 11-12; Species of figure 15; Species of figure 16; Species of figures 17-18; Species of figure 19; Species of figure 20; Species of figure 21; Species of figures 22-23; Species of figure 24; Species of figures 25-26; Species of figure 27; and Species of figure 28. The species are independent or distinct because they contain claims directed to distinct species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3734

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 7. During a telephone conversation with Michael Chinlund on 04/26/2007 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of species of figures 1-8, 13, and 14, claims 1-4. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 5-18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3734

11. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the last end" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 13. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flomenblit et al. (U.S. 5,562,641).

Flomenbit et al discloses in figures 4A-4d, a spiral shaped elongate member (54) having a first end and a second end (at 50,52), wherein the elongate member (54) includes a plurality of spiraling loops extending therebetween; wherein the first and second ends (at 50,52) are being coupled to power generator (in connections at 46,48), the power generator arranged to allow a current to be passed through the spiral shaped member (54); wherein the elongate member (54) can be constructed from one contiguous strip; and wherein each loop the spiral shaped member (54) is inherently capable of having a rectangular cross-section.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3734

Page 6

15. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record disclose or suggest wherein a height of the plurality of loops measured along a radial axis of the plurality of loops is greater than a width of the plurality of loops measured along an a longitudinal axis of the plurality of loops.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kuehne (US 2004/0093075) discloses a stent having two ends in contact with electrical current.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin T. Truong Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3734

ktt